

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/509,112	09/27/2004	Wassilios Grammenos	53376	1295	
26474 7	590 12/15/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
NOVAK DRUCE DELUCA & QUIGG, LLP			PRYOR, ALTO	PRYOR, ALTON NATHANIEL	
1300 EYE STE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SUITE 400 EAST TOWER			ARTONII	TATER NOMBER	
WASHINGTON, DC 20005			1616		

DATE MAILED: 12/15/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/509,112	GRAMMENOS ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Alton N. Pryor	1616			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
WHICHEVE - Extensions or after SIX (6) - If NO period to Failure to rep Any reply rec	ENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DIFFER IN A CFR 1.1 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. For reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period by within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eived by the Office later than three months after the mailing term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status	•					
1)∏ Resp	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>8/2/06;9/29/06;11/29/06</u> .					
·	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)☐ Since	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
close	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of	Claims					
4) Claim	4) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) O	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)☐ Claim	5) Claim(s) 9 and 17 is/are allowed.					
6)☐ Claim	6) Claim(s) 1-5,10-14 and 18 is/are rejected.					
7)☐ Claim	7) Claim(s) <u>6-8,15,16</u> is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)∏ The si	pecification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
-	rawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under	35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
	•					
Attachment(s)	() O'L. I (DTC 200)	, —	(DTO 110)			
	ferences Cited (PTO-892) Iftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)				
3) Information [Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal Pa				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/509,112

Art Unit: 1616

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

I. Rejection of claims 7-10 under 35 USC 112, 2nd paragraph will not be maintained in light of amendment filed 8/2/06, 9/29/06 and 11/29/06. Applicant has correct antecedent basis problems associated with these claims.

- II. Rejection of claim 9 under 35 USC 1029(e) as being anticipated by Grammenos will not be maintained in light of amendment filed 8/2/06, 9/29/06 and 11/29/06.

 Applicant has deleted "haloalkoxy" as a possibility for the R1 substituent.
- III. New Rejection

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim1-5, 10-14,18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Seitz et al (WO 96/17825; 6/13/96). Seitz suggests a compound of formula I where Ar2 is a phenyl that is substituted with 2 alkoxy groups, A1, A2 and A3 = H, m = 2, E is =CHR1 where position 1 on the moiety bares a heteroaryl (Ar1)-G-Z and the R1 on position 2 of the moiety bares alkyl (Me, Et, Pr), G = bond, and Z = halogen, alkyl (Me, Et, Pr) or alkoxy (O-Me, O-Et, O-Pr). This compound taught by Seitz is equivalent to compound of instant formula I in the instant claims. Seitz teaches that his compounds can be combined with carriers to produce a composition. Seitz also teaches a method of applying the composition to materials to control fungi growth. Seitz does not exemplify a

Art Unit: 1616

specific compound of instant formula I and does not exemplify a method of applying the compound to material to control fungal growth. However, at the time of Seitz's invention one having ordinary skill in the art would have been expected to make the instant compound of formula I and apply it to materials to control fungal growth. One would have been motivated to do this since Seitz suggests the instant compounds and their application to materials to control fungal growth.

Claim Objection / Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 6-8,15,16 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Claims 9 and 17 are allowable. The prior art does not teach or suggest the instant method of making compound of instant formula I using compound of formula III or IV or compound in claim 8. The prior art does not teach or suggest the compound of formula II'.

Telephonic Inquiry

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alton N. Pryor whose telephone number is 571-272-0621. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 a.m. - 4:30 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Johann Richter can be reached on 571-272-0646. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/509,112 Page 4

Art Unit: 1616

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Alton Pryor

Primary Examiner

AU 1616